

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	LICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 6628
09/779,210	0 02/08/2001		Fanny Maquaire	TIF-30144	
23494	7590	08/25/2004		EXAMINER	
TEXAS INSTRUMENTS INCORPORATED				BEHULU, ALEMAYEHU	
P O BOX 65 DALLAS, T		3999		ART UNIT PAPER NUM	
,				2682	· 17
				DATE MAILED: 08/25/2004	135

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<u> </u>
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/779,210	MAQUAIRE ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit /
	Alemayehu Behulu	2682
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statt Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr tte, cause the application to become ABANDO	days will be considered timely. Tom the mailing date of this communication.  NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 6/0	2/04	
· <u> </u>	is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	·	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdred 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	awn from consideration.	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ad	ccepted or b) objected to by the	e Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• •
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	, -, -	•
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applic iority documents have been rece au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	eation No sived in this National Stage
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	st of the certified copies not rece	ivea.
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summ	
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	Paper No(s)/Mai  5) Notice of Information  6) Other:	al Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/779,210

Art Unit: 2682

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1, 4, 6-10, 13, 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barkat (U.S. Patent No. 5,805672) in view of Ishida (G.B. Publication No. 2268663).

Regarding claims 1, 10 and 15, Barkat discloses a mobile communications device comprising (figure 1, number 12): a database of telephone numbers, one or more of the telephone numbers associated with respective audio and voice templates (column 2, lines 1-10, abstract, figure 2, number 30, 54, 56, column 4, lines 14-24); voice activated dialing circuitry for dialing one of the telephone numbers in response to identifying a match between an audio input from a user and one of templates, and for playing the associated audio file in response to match (column 2, lines 1-10, abstract, column 3, lines 34-51, column 4, lines 20-24). But, Yablon fails to teach caller identification circuitry for detecting an originating telephone number associated with an incoming telephone call and, if originating telephone number is associated with an audio file, playing the associated audio file. However, Ishida discloses caller identification circuitry for detecting an originating telephone number associated with an incoming telephone call and, if originating telephone number is associated with an incoming telephone call and, if originating telephone number is associated with an audio file, playing the associated audio file (figures 2-5 and page 4, lines 18-page 7, lines 11, claims 1, 5, 6). Therefore, at the time of the

Art Unit: 2682

invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine Barkat (U.S. Patent No. 5,805672) with Ishida (G.B. Publication No. 2268663) to allow the user easily recognize the caller's identification by simply listening to the audio announcement.

Regarding claims 4 and 13, the combination of the Barkat and Ishida disclose, the mobile communications device of claims 1, 10 respectively wherein caller identification circuitry further plays distinctive ring associated with originating telephone number if the originating telephone number is not associated with an audio file (see Ishida figure 3, label S7 and page 5, lines 8-25, page 6, lines 21-25).

Referring to claims 6-9, the combination of the Barkat and Ishida teach mobile communications device of claim 1. However, the above combination does not expressly teach that the mobile communication device are a cellular telephone, a smart phone, a personal digital assistant, and a portable computer as claimed. However, the examiner takes an official notice that a smart phone, a personal digital assistant, and a portable computer are known in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the above combination such that it can also be used with the conventional cellular phone, a smart phone, a personal digital assistant, and a portable computer as claimed, in order to enhance the practical application of the combination of Yablon and Ishida.

2. Claims 2, 3, 5, 11, 12, 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barkat (U.S. Patent No. 5,805672) and Ishida (G.B. Publication No. 2268663) as applied to claims 1,10 above, and further in view of Yablon (International Publication No. WO 99/45687).

Regarding claim 2, 11, the combination of Barkat and Ishida disclose the mobile communications device of claims 1, 10. However, Barkat and Ishida fail to disclose display for displaying name associated with a telephone number caller. But, Yablon discloses display for displaying name associated with a telephone number caller (see Yablon figure 5, and page 11, lines 2-8). Therefore, at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine Barkat (U.S. Patent No. 5,805672) and Ishida (G.B. Publication No. 2268663) with Yablon (International Publication No. WO 99/45687) so that the user is able to answer only important calls which gives the user more choice and save time.

Referring to claims 3 and 12, the combination of the Barkat, Ishida and Yablon disclose, the communications device of claim 2 wherein the display further displays originating telephone number (see Yablon figure 5 and 7).

Referring to claims 5 and 14, the combination of the Barkat, Ishida and Yablon disclose, the mobile communications device of claim 1 wherein audio files are recording of the user's voice (see Yablon figure 13 and page 8, lines 17-22 and page 12, lines 3-9).

Art Unit: 2682

## Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1-15 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alemayehu Behulu whose telephone number is 703-305-4828. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 AM - 5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivian Chin can be reached on 703-308-6739. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AB

SUBERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600
8/20/04